

(43) Date of A Publication 21.07.1999

(21) Application No 9900472.3

(22) Date of Filing 12.01.1999

(30) Priority Data

(31) 9800741 (32) 15.01.1998 (33) GB

(71) Applicant(s)

Geco A S

(Incorporated in Norway)

Schlumberger House, Solbraveien 23, N-1370 Asker,  
Norway

(72) Inventor(s)

Luc Thomas Ikelle

Lasse Amundsen

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service

Akram Karim Mirza

Schlumberger Cambridge Research Limited,  
High Cross, Madingley Road, CAMBRIDGE, CB3 0EL,  
United Kingdom

(51) INT CL<sup>6</sup>

G01V 1/36

(52) UK CL (Edition Q )

G1G GEL

(56) Documents Cited

US 5365492 A US 4486865 A

(58) Field of Search

UK CL (Edition Q ) G1G GEL

INT CL<sup>6</sup> G01V 1/36

ONLINE: WPI

(54) Abstract Title

Attenuating multiples in marine seismic data

(57) Seismic wavefield data is recorded by seismic multi-component receivers, the data having measurements relating to pressure (p) and velocity (v, v, v) components of the wavefield. The method involves choosing a component of the wavefield and selecting two or more components of the wavefield data necessary to attenuate multiples in the chosen component. One or more of the selected components is filtered using a spatial filter which combines data components measured at different receiver locations and operates indiscriminately on P- and S- waves. The selected and filtered selected components are then summed to generate a reduced multiple variant of the chosen component.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

## Multiple attenuation of multi-component sea-bottom data

The present invention relates to methods of reducing or  
5 attenuating multiples in multi-component sea-bottom data.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 Marine seismic surveys are usually conducted by towing an energy source and seismic detectors behind a vessel. The source imparts an acoustic wave to the water, creating a wavefield which travels coherently into the underlying earth. As the wavefield strikes interfaces between earth formations, or strata, it is  
15 reflected back through the earth and water to the detectors, where it is converted to electrical signals and recorded. Through analysis of these signals, it is possible to determine the shape, position and lithology of the sub-bottom formations. In other marine survey methods, the detectors and/or sources are  
20 placed at or close to the sea bottom or in wells.

A problem encountered in marine surveying - as well as in inverse vertical seismic profiling or "VSP" - is that of water column reverberation. The problem, which arises as a result of  
25 the inherent reflectivity of the water surface and bottom, may be explained as follows. A seismic wave generated in (or reflected of) earth strata passes into the water in a generally upward direction. This wave, termed the "primary", travels through the water and past the seismic detector which records  
30 its presence. The wavefield continues upward to the water's surface, where it is reflected back downwards. This reflected, or "ghost", wavefield also travels through the water and past the detector(s), where it is again recorded. Depending upon the nature of the earth material at the water's bottom, the ghost  
35 wavefield may itself be reflected upwards through the water,

giving rise to a series of one or more subsequent ghost reflections or multiples.

Free-surface multiple reflections can be classified according to their order, which is equal to the number of reflections from the free-surface. Thus, first order free-surface reflections comprise energy initially travelling downwardly from the sources (as opposed to "ghosting" where energy travels upwardly and is reflected from the free surface), is reflected upwardly from the sea bed or a boundary below the sea bed, and is then reflected downwardly from the free-surface to the hydrophones. Second order free-surface multiple reflections undergo two downward reflections from the sea-surface before being detected by the hydrophones, and so on.

This reverberation of the seismic wavefield in the water obscures seismic data, amplifying certain frequencies and attenuating others, thereby making it difficult to analyse the underlying earth formations.

In instances where the earth material at the water bottom is particularly hard, excess acoustic energy or noise generated by the seismic source can also become trapped in the water column, reverberating in the same manner as the reflected seismic waves themselves. This noise is often high in amplitude and, as a result, tends to cover the weaker seismic reflection signals sought for study.

In the art, Ruehle, in U.S. Patent No. 4,486,865, discloses a technique for reducing ghosting wherein a pressure detector and a particle velocity detector positioned in close proximity to one another in the water. The output of at least one of the detectors is gain-adjusted and filtered, using a deconvolution operation having a predetermined amount of white noise to the zero lag of the autocorrelation function. The patent suggests that, by adding this deconvolved/gain-adjusted signal to the

output of the other detector, ghost reflections may be cancelled.

Dragoset, in U.S. Patent No. 5,365,492, describes an iterative  
5 method of determining an scaling factor used to sum simultaneous pressure and velocity measurements.

Haggerty, in U.S. Pat. No. 2,757,356, discloses a marine seismic reflection surveying system in which two seismometer spreads are  
10 disposed at two distinct depths in the water such that water column reverberations received by them are 180 degrees out of phase. By combining the output of the detectors, the patent suggests that the reverberations will cancel.

15 White, in 'Seismic Wave Radiation - Transmission and Attenuation', McGraw-Hill, 1965, and later Barr, in U.S. Pat. No. 4,979,150, both propose the use of hydrophone/geophone pair to separate upwardly and downwardly travelling waves. Both use a plane wave decomposition of the wave equation to determine a  
20 scaling factor which allows to add measurements from the different detectors types. The suggested solution assumes however normal incidence of the waves.

Ikelle et al., in the UK Patent Application GB-A-9710435, use a  
25 Born series approach to eliminate multiples from sea-bottom surveys.

Berni, in U.S. Pat. No. 4,345,473, suggests the use of a vertical component accelerometer in combination with  
30 hydrophone for cancelling surface-reflected noise in marine seismic operations.

Gal'perin, in 'Vertical Seismic Profiling,' Special Publication No. 12 of the Society of Exploration Geophysicists, suggests the  
35 use of a seismic detector which combines the output of a pressure and velocity sensor for use in VSP surveying.

Moldoveanu, in U.S. Pat. No. 5,621,700, uses a pressure and velocity sensitive sea-bottom cable. The reverberations are attenuated by an averaging process involving the steps of adding  
5 the product of the pressure data times the absolute value of the velocity data and the product of the velocity data times the absolute value of the pressure data.

L. Amundsen and A. Reitan published in Geophysics, Vol. 60, 2,  
10 1995, 563-572 a method for decomposing multi-component sea floor data, employing decomposition filters determined by plane wave analysis.

K.M. Schalkwijk et al. published in SEG, Expanded Abstracts,  
15 1997, p.8-11, a method of simultaneously decomposing the recorded wavefield into up- and downgoing and compressional (P-) and shear (S-)waves in a one-step decomposition. The described method did not provide satisfactory results when applied to real  
20 data.

In view of the above-cited references, it is seen as an object of this invention to provide methods of eliminating ghosts or multiples from marine surveys, particularly sea bottom measurements. It is a specific object of the invention to  
25 provide such a method without making assumption about the direction of incidence of the acoustic waves.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

30 In accordance with the present invention there is provided a method of reducing multiples in data recorded during a marine seismic survey, comprising the steps of (a) providing seismic wavefield data recorded by seismic multi-component receivers  
35 wherein said data comprise measurements related to pressure and velocity components of said wavefield; (b) choosing a component



of the wavefield; (c) selecting two or more components of the provided wavefield data necessary to attenuate multiples in said chosen component; (d) filtering one or more of said selected components using a spatial filter which combines data components measured at different receiver locations and operates  
5 indiscriminately on P- and S-waves; and (e) summing the selected and filtered selected components to generate a reduced multiple variant of said chosen component.

10 In contrast to known attempts of scaling the different components of the wavefield before summation, such as described for example in the U.S. Patent Nos. 4,486,865 and 5,365,492, the filter of the present invention is a spatial filter using a convolution operation which combines several traces to generate  
15 one output trace. A trace is the measurement of one component of the wavefield at one location.

In its most general embodiment, the filter is also frequency variant. Therefore it is possible to consider contributions of  
20 the wavefield with non-zero angle of incidence in a unified manner without having to separately calculate angle dependant scaling factors.

Regards the method described by K.M. Schalkwijk et al., in SEG,  
25 Expanded Abstracts, 1997, p.8-11, the present invention separates the step of suppressing the downgoing multiples from the decomposition into compressional (P-) and shear (S-) waves. This approach leads to superior results when applied to real data.

30

The demultiple filter is preferably derived from a solution of the elastodynamic wave equation using the representation theorem. The demultiple filter attempts to reconstruct the downgoing wavefield from multi-component measurements. By  
35 subtracting the reconstructed downgoing wavefield from the measured total wavefield, the upgoing wavefield can be derived.

The filter is preferably a spatial filter using a convolution operation which combines several traces (measurements of single receivers) to generate one output trace. In its most general  
5 embodiment, the filter is also frequency variant.

As the demultiple filter is derived from the representation theorem instead of plane wave decomposition, the sea bottom can be arbitrarily irregular. The filter eliminates water  
10 reverberations as the methods described in the prior art. But it also attenuates other multiples that are not suppressed using a known method. Furthermore, the new method naturally encompasses non-zero offset signals without having to introduce separate directional factor.

15

In practice, measurement which combine signals from two different receivers, such as geophones and hydrophones, require additional calibration to match their output and, particularly in case of ocean bottom measurements, to match differences in  
20 the coupling of receiver and sea floor.

These and other features of the invention, preferred embodiments and variants thereof, and further advantages of the invention will become appreciated and understood by those skilled in the  
25 art from the detailed description and drawings below.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

30 FIG. 1           schematically illustrates marine seismic events as registered in a sea bottom cable;

FIGs. 2A,B       schematically illustrate up- and downgoing wavefield as registered in a sea bottom cable;

35

FIG. 3 graphically denotes the boundary conditions for a marine seismic survey using a sea bottom cable;

FIG. 4 shows a flow diagram illustrating basic steps of a method in accordance with the invention.

#### MODE(S) FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

Referring to FIG. 1, there are shown typical sea bottom seismic events. A solid black circle denotes a source. A triangle denotes a receiver. In the examples, the sources are located close to the surface 10 of the sea. The receivers are located at the sea bottom 11. Below the sea bottom a single reflector 12 is shown at arbitrary depth. Signal paths are shown for various seismic events that lead to multiples in the recorded data. Depending on the direction of incidence at the receiver site, the event can be separated into upgoing (FIG. 2A) and downgoing (FIG. 2B) events.

20

As illustrated by FIG. 2A, the downgoing wavefield contains essentially multiples, i.e., water-layer reverberations 21 and receiver peg-leg multiples 22. It also includes the sea bottom primary 20. The upgoing wavefield, shown in FIG. 2B, contains all primaries 23 except the sea floor primary and some multiples events, in particular the source peg-leg multiples 24 which are not included in the downgoing wavefield.

The new demultiple method as described below is based on the elastodynamic representation theorem. Let  $v_j$  be the  $j$ th component of the particle velocity, and  $p$  the pressure. The corresponding demultiplied fields just below the sea floor are denoted by a  $\sim$  (tilde) superscript. It can be shown that the demultiple of  $v_j$  can be written as

35

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{v}_j(\omega, x) &= v_j(\omega, x) - \\
 [1] \quad &\int_S dS(x') [ (i\omega \rho(\omega, x') G_{3j}(x', x, k_\alpha, k_\beta) - \\
 &v_i(\omega, x') n_i \Sigma_{1ij}(x', x, k_\alpha, k_\beta) ]
 \end{aligned}$$

at a point  $x$  in a given domain  $D$  limited by a surface  $S$ , and where  $G_{ij}$  is the Green's tensor of the elastodynamic displacement field,  $\Sigma_{pqk}$  is the Green's stress tensor, and  $k_\alpha = \omega/\alpha$  and  $k_\beta = \omega/\beta$  are the P- and S-wave wavenumbers, respectively, and  $\omega$  is the circular frequency. Using Hooke's law, a demultiplied pressure measurement can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{p}(\omega, x) &= i(\omega \rho(x))^{-1} \{ (\alpha^2(x) - 2\beta^2(x)) \\
 10 \quad [2] \quad &[\partial_1 \tilde{v}_1(\omega, x) + \partial_2 \tilde{v}_2(\omega, x)] + \alpha^2(x) \partial_3 \tilde{v}_3(\omega, x) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the demultiplied velocities  $v$  are given in eq. [1], and the  $\partial_i$  denote partial derivatives,  $\rho(x)$  gives the density at point  $x$ .

15 It is important to note that the equations [1] and [2] are general demultiple equations for ocean bottom data, and valid for an arbitrarily shaped sea floor and laterally varying medium parameters. To evaluate the equation, dynamic ray tracing can be used to derive a numerical expression for the Green's tensor elements. The sea floor profile  $S$  is an absorbing boundary for the Green's tensor.

An analytic solution of the equations [1] and [2] can be derived by making simplifying assumptions. In the example below, it is 25 for example assumed that the sea floor parameter are constant.

For the evaluation the notation used in the equations [1] and [2] is modified. The points on the surface  $S$  are now denoted by  $\xi$ ,  $\xi'$  and those in the volume  $D$  are denoted by  $x$ ,  $x'$ . The 30 boundaries used for evaluating the integral equation [1] are

shown in Figure 3, where the domain D is bounded between the sea bottom 31 and another reference level 32 just below the sea bottom. The right and left borders of domain D extend to infinity. By bringing the reference level very close to sea floor, the computation of the downgoing wavefield can be reduced to evaluating the elastodynamic representation integral along the sea floor. By also assuming that the medium parameters just below the sea floor are constant, use can be made of the free-space Green's tensors for  $G_{ij}(x, \omega, x')$  and  $\Sigma_{pqk}(x, \omega, x')$ . With these approximations, the elastodynamic representation integral can be analytically evaluated.

Let  $\{p, v_x, v_y, v_z\}$  be the four component seismic data recorded directly at the sea floor;  $p$  denotes the pressure field,  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  denote the horizontal components of the particle velocity, and  $v_z$  the vertical component of the particle velocity. In accordance with the standard up-down decomposition at a particular location, for instance the sea bottom,  $\{p, v_x, v_y, v_z\}$  is decompose into a downgoing wavefield  $\{p^D, v_x^D, v_y^D, v_z^D\}$  and a upgoing wavefield  $\{p^U, v_x^U, v_y^U, v_z^U\}$  such that

$$[3] \{p, v_x, v_y, v_z\} = \{p^D, v_x^D, v_y^D, v_z^D\} + \{p^U, v_x^U, v_y^U, v_z^U\}$$

By using the representation theorem as described earlier, it is found that the upgoing wavefield  $\{p^U, v_x^U, v_y^U, v_z^U\}$  can be determined using

[4]

$$\begin{aligned} p^U(x_s, \xi, \omega) &= \frac{1}{2} [p(x_s, \xi, \omega) - \Gamma^{p:v_z}(x_s, \xi, \omega) * v_z(x_s, \xi, \omega)] \\ v_x^U(x_s, \xi, \omega) &= \frac{1}{2} [v_x(x_s, \xi, \omega) - \Gamma^{v_x:v_z}(x_s, \xi, \omega) * v_z(x_s, \xi, \omega)] \\ v_y^U(x_s, \xi, \omega) &= \frac{1}{2} [v_y(x_s, \xi, \omega) - \Gamma^{v_y:v_z}(x_s, \xi, \omega) * v_z(x_s, \xi, \omega)] \\ v_z^U(x_s, \xi, \omega) &= \frac{1}{2} [v_z(x_s, \xi, \omega) - \Gamma^{v_z:v_x}(x_s, \xi, \omega) * v_x(x_s, \xi, \omega) - \\ &\quad \Gamma^{v_z:v_y}(x_s, \xi, \omega) * v_y(x_s, \xi, \omega) - \Gamma^{v_z:p}(x_s, \xi, \omega) * p(x_s, \xi, \omega)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_s = (x_s, y_s, z_s)$  is the source position and  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$  is the  
5 receiver position. The \* symbol denotes spatial convolution with  
respect to  $\xi$ . The coefficients  $\Gamma$  are known analytically. For  
example:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{p:v_z}(\xi, \omega) &= -i\omega\rho \left[ 1 + 4 \left( \frac{\beta}{\omega} \right)^2 (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) + \right. \\ [5] \quad &\left. 4 \left( \frac{\beta}{\omega} \right)^4 (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)^2 \right] g_p(0, \xi, \omega) - i\omega\rho \left[ 4 \left( \frac{\beta}{\omega} \right)^4 (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \partial_3^2 \right] g_s(0, \xi, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

10

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_p(0, \xi, \omega) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\xi|} \exp \left\{ -i \frac{\omega}{\alpha} |\xi| \right\}, \\ [6] \quad g_s(0, \xi, \omega) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\xi|} \exp \left\{ -i \frac{\omega}{\beta} |\xi| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

15 and where the constants  $\rho$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are density, P-wave velocity  
and S-wave velocity just below the sea bottom, respectively. As  
the receivers are positioned at the sea bottom, the derivatives  
in [5] are carried out along the sea floor surface (the  
derivative variable is  $\xi$ ).

For practical applications, the filter  $\Gamma$  may be approximated to limit the filter length and hence increase the speed at which the method can be implemented.

5

Of the various methods known to reduce the length of a filter while preserving its efficiency, two methods are described below:

- 10 Using a Taylor expansion on the filter in wavenumber domain the filter can be written as an infinite series in the horizontal wavenumber. By only keeping a given number of terms, these can be inverse Fourier transformed to space domain giving an approximate spatial demultiple filter. This filter is expressed  
15 in terms of powers of second derivative operators, which again can be approximated to the degree of accuracy required. This approach is the equivalent to developing one-way wave equations for wavefield extrapolation/migration resulting in the so-called well-known 5, 15, 30, 45 etc. degree equations - handling waves  
20 propagating with up to 5, 15, 30, 45 etc. degrees from the vertical axis correctly.

Using numerically optimized filters to determine by optimization a spatial filter of pre-defined length that has a wavenumber  
25 expression that fits in some sense the true wavenumber filter over a pre-defined wavenumber range. Such filters can be very short compared with the one given by eq. [4]. This approach is similar to the one used to optimize derivative operators for numerical derivation.

30

Furthermore, the accuracy and the scope of the above-described method can be increased using various extensions:

According to eq. [5], the coefficients  $\Gamma$  are dependent of the  
35 sea bottom geometry and elastic parameter. In the case where

these information are not available or are not sufficiently accurate, an optimisation similar to the one described in the International Patent Application WO96/20417 to determine these coefficients numerically. Because the wavefield separation used  
5 here is based on the representation theorem instead of plane wave decomposition, the sea floor can be arbitrary irregular in the computation of upgoing wavefield described in eq. [4].

By applying a scattering series approach, further multiples can  
10 be removed from the upgoing wavefield.

Though the equation [4] for computing the field  $\{p^u, v_x^u, v_y^u, v_z^u\}$  removes a significant amount of multiples, including all water body reverberations and all receiver peg-leg multiples as  
15 described in FIG. 2A, the process can be extended: Using an inverse scattering type operation, as described for example in the UK Patent Application GB-A-9710435, source peg-leg multiples (FIG. 2B) can be removed. The first terms of a scattering series for the upgoing pressure field are:

20

$$[7] \quad \hat{p}^u(x, \xi, \omega) = p^u(x, \xi, \omega) + A^1(\omega)p_1^u(x, \xi, \omega) + A^2(\omega)p_2^u(x, \xi, \omega) + \dots,$$

where

25 [8]

$$p_n^u(x_s, \xi, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx p^u(x, \xi, \omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' g_p(x, \omega, x') p_{n-1}^u(x', \xi, \omega).$$

Referring now to FIG. 4, the basic steps are described for applying the multiple attenuation described above to shot  
30 gathers. Depending on the wavefield from which multiples are to be removed, a set of two or more components of the wavefields are selected. One of the following combinations must be selected:  $\{p, v_z\}$ ,  $\{v_x, v_z\}$ ,  $\{v_y, v_z\}$ ,  $\{p, v_z, v_x, v_y\}$ . Any



combinations of the horizontal component can be formed with the pressure field or the vertical velocity. The main steps for the application are described:

- 5 1. Collect multi-component prestack seismic data.
2. Select the component to be demultiplied and select the other components needed for the subtraction. For example, to demultiply the pressure  $p$ , select  $v_z$ .
3. Determine the demultiple filter by optimization or by directly  
10 using the equation [5] or both.
4. Perform the sum in [4] to obtain the demultiple  $p^u$ .

An extension of the present invention comprises the step of decomposing the measured wavefield into compressional (P-) waves  
15 and shear (S-)wave using, for example, the method described above by Amundsen and Reitan.

For the scope of the invention it is important to note that the filter  $\Gamma$  of eqs. [4,5] is derived in the  $x-\omega$  domain. Using  
20 standard transformation methods, the filter can be transformed into other domains, such as  $f-k$  or  $\tau-p$ .

CLAIMS

- 5 1. A method of reducing multiples in data recorded during a marine seismic survey, comprising the steps of
- (a) providing seismic wavefield data recorded by seismic multi-component receivers wherein said data comprise  
10 measurements related to pressure and velocity components of said wavefield;
- (b) choosing a component of the wavefield;
- 15 (c) selecting two or more components of the provided wavefield data necessary to attenuate multiples in said chosen component;
- (d) filtering one or more of said selected components using  
20 a spatial filter which combines data components measured at different receiver locations and operates indiscriminately on P- and S-waves; and
- (e) summing the selected and filtered selected components  
25 to generate a reduced multiple variant of said chosen component.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the filter includes wavefield contributions with zero and non-zero angles of  
30 incidence at a given receiver location.
3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of decomposing the wavefield into P- and S-waves using a separate filter.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the filter is frequency dependent.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the filter is spatially and frequency dependent.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the chosen components are chosen from a group consisting of pressure  $p$  and three orthogonal velocity  $v_x$ ,  $v_y$ ,  $v_z$ .
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the chosen components are chosen from a group consisting of pressure  $p$  and three orthogonal velocity  $v_x$ ,  $v_y$ ,  $v_z$  and the components necessary to attenuate multiples in said defined components are selected according to the following steps:
  - if the pressure  $p$  is chosen then select the pressure  $p$  and the vertical velocity component  $v_z$  to reduce the multiples;
  - if the vertical component  $v_z$  is chosen then select  $v_z$ , the pressure  $p$ , and the two horizontal velocity components  $v_x$  and  $v_y$ ; and
  - if one of the two horizontal velocity components  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  is chosen then select said horizontal component and the vertical component  $v_z$ .
8. The method of claim 1, wherein the filter is based on a solution of the elastodynamic representation theorem.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein the filter reconstructs the downgoing wavefield from multi-component measurements of the total wavefield.
10. The method of claim 1, further including the step of using a correction to adapt for the output of different receiver types and sea floor coupling.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein the reduced multiple variant is further processed using known seismic data processing methods.
- 5 12. The method of claim 1, wherein the wavefield data are recorded by receivers located at the sea floor.
- 10 13. A marine seismic survey, comprising the step of laying out a receiver carrier on the sea floor, said receiver carrier comprising a plurality of multi-component receivers able to receive at least two independent components of velocity and/or pressure measurements; generating acoustic energy to travel through earth formations below said receiver carrier; using said receivers to measure a multi-component wavefield data of said acoustic energy at receiver locations; and the further steps of
  - 15 (a) choosing a component of the wavefield;
  - 20 (b) selecting two or more components of the wavefield data necessary to attenuate multiples in said chosen component;
  - (c) filtering one or more of said selected components using a spatial filter which combines data components measured at different receiver locations and operates indiscriminately on P- and S-waves; and
  - 25 (d) summing the selected and filtered selected components to generate a reduced multiple variant of said chosen component.
- 30



Application No: GB 9900472.3  
Claims searched: 1-13

Examiner: David Summerhayes  
Date of search: 1 April 1999

**Patents Act 1977**  
**Search Report under Section 17**

**Databases searched:**

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK Cl (Ed.Q): G1G (GEL)

Int Cl (Ed.6): G01V 1/36

Other: Online: WPI

**Documents considered to be relevant:**

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage	Relevant to claims
A	US 5365492 (DRAGOSSET)	
A	US 4486865 (RUEHLE)	

X Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step  
Y Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.

& Member of the same patent family

A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.  
P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.  
E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☒ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**